HIW/18/85

South Hams Highways and Traffic Orders Committee 23 November 2018

Annual Local Waiting Restriction Programme

Report of the Chief Officer for Highways, Infrastructure Development and Waste

Please note that the following recommendations are subject to consideration and determination by the Committee before taking effect.

Recommendation: It is recommended that:

- (a) work on the annual waiting restrictions programme process for 2018/2019 is noted:
- (b) the recommendations contained in Section 4 of this report and detailed in Appendices I and II to this report are agreed.

1. Background

Proposals for the South Hams HATOC Annual Waiting Restriction Review for 2018 were presented to this Committee on 6 July 2018.

2. Proposal

Agreed proposals have since been advertised with significant objections and comments having been received to 7 of the proposals.

Details of these proposals and the objections received are shown in Appendix I to this report with plans of the proposals shown in Appendix II.

3. Consultations

Following advertisement:

- Proposals which did not attract objections will be implemented without the need to report back to Committee.
- Proposals attracting significant objections are detailed in Appendices I and II to this report.

4. Specific Proposals and Recommendations

RECOMMENDATION - that the recommendations individually listed in Appendix I are agreed.

5. Financial Considerations

The total costs of the scheme are contained within a countywide budget of £100,000 which has been allocated from the On Street Parking Account.

There will be a cost to the Council in advertising a new Traffic Order for each Committee Area, this will be approximately £1,500. In addition, the costs of any changes to signing or lining will be attributed to that Order.

6. Environmental Impact Considerations

The proposals are intended to rationalise on street parking and improve mobility and access within the district and are designed to:

- Encourage turnover of on street parking to benefit residents and businesses.
- Enable enforcement to be undertaken efficiently.
- Encourage longer term visitors to use off street car parks.
- Encourage commuters to make more sustainable travel choices eg Car Share, Public Transport, Walking and Cycling.
- Assist pedestrians and other vulnerable road users in crossing the highway

The Environmental effects of the scheme are therefore positive.

7. Equality Considerations

There are not considered to be any equality issues associated with the proposals. The impact will therefore be neutral.

8. Legal Considerations

The lawful implications and consequences of the proposal have been considered and taken into account in the preparation of this report.

When making a Traffic Regulation Order it is the County Council's responsibility to ensure that all relevant legislation is complied with. This includes Section 122 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 that states that it is the duty of a local authority, so far as practicable, secures the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of traffic and provision of parking facilities. It is considered that the proposals comply with Section 122 of the Act as they practically secure the safe and expeditious movement of traffic in the South Hams District.

9. Risk Management Considerations

There are thought to be no major safety issues arising from the proposals.

10. Public Health Impact

There is not considered to be any public health impact.

11. Reasons for Recommendations

The proposals rationalise existing parking arrangements within the South Hams District area by:

- Encouraging turnover of on street parking to benefit residents and businesses.
- Enabling enforcement to be undertaken efficiently.
- Encouraging longer term visitors to use off street car parks.
- Encouraging those working in the town make more sustainable travel choices eg Car Share, Public Transport, Walking and Cycling.

The proposals contribute to the safe and expeditious movement of traffic in the South Hams District and therefore comply with S 122 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984.

Meg Booth Chief Officer for Highways, Infrastructure Development and Waste

Electoral Divisions: All in the South Hams District area

Local Government Act 1972: List of Background Papers

Contact for enquiries: Mike Jones

Room No: ABG Lucombe House

Tel No: 01392383000

| Background Paper | Date | File Ref. |
|------------------|------|-----------|
| | | |
| None | | |

mj131118shh sc/cr/Annual Local Waiting Restriction Programme 02 141118

Devon County Council (Various Roads, South Hams) (Waiting Restrictions) Amendment Order

| Comment | Devon County Council Response | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Plan ENV5670-002 Broad Park, Dartmouth 5 respondents – Residents of Broad Park, Higher Broad Park & Above Town | | |
| Objections 5 respondents object to the proposals on the grounds that: | Reason for Proposal No Waiting at Any Time to prevent obstructive parking in turning head. | |
| Parking in front of the garages does not affect the turning ability of the few vehicles that use it. Residents park outside their garages. Proposals will increase parking pressure for residents. No problem when the 'Do not park here as you will cause an obstruction' sign was in-situ. 1 respondent commented that it is unnecessary action and cost. 1 respondent commented that the restrictions are needed on the east, south and west sides of the turning area as parking in these areas makes turning impossible. 1 respondent will be contacting the Local Member about this. | | |
| Suggestion Extent of No Waiting at Any Time should be reduced so the restrictions do not go across the front of the garages (north side) Put back the sign 'Do not park here as you will cause an obstruction'. | Comments Recommend at this stage to drop the proposal and monitor. | |
| Recommendation: Do not implement. | | |

| Comment | Devon County Council Response |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Plan ENV5670-003 Crowthers Hill, Dartmouth 1 respondent – Resident of Crowthers Hill, Dartmouth | |
| Objection 1 respondent objects to the proposals on the grounds that: | Reason for Proposal No Waiting at Any Time to prevent obstructive parking in narrow section of road. |
| There is no problem with vehicles parking in this location - why spend money on a problem that does not exist. Concerned that the restrictions will be extended in the future where they have planning permission to park. | Comments No current plans to extend the proposals. Future extension of the restrictions is considered unlikely. |
| Recommendation: Implement as advertised. | |

| Comment | Devon County Council Response |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Plan ENV5670-001 (A) Bayards Cove Dartmouth 2 late respondents | |
| Objects | Reason for Proposal Remove 1 metre of double Yellow Line to |
| Reasons not clear as proposal merely adjusts the angle of the parking bay to enable it to be fully utilised. | enable existing parking space to be fully used. |
| It is understood that 1 objector wishes to address the Committee. | |
| Recommendation: Implement as advertised. | |

| Comment | Devon County Council Response | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Plan ENV5670 -005 Flood Street, Stoke Gabriel 2 respondents – Resident of Flood Street & Paignton Road, Stoke Gabriel | | |
| Objection 1 respondent objects to the proposal on the grounds that: Existing tight parking problem in the area. The restrictions will displace parking onto further congested adjacent street. | Reason for Proposal No Waiting at Any Time to prevent inappropriate parking on pavement and at junction. | |
| Suggestions Better idea to remove the pavement to provide more parking for the residents, as the pavement serves no real purpose. Support 1 respondent supports the proposal on the grounds that: | Officer comments Ongoing issues with footway parking supported by Local Member as original request was for bollards but double yellow lines were more appropriate. | |
| Obstructive parking is already impeding emergency vehicle access. Development in area has increased the volume of traffic and the risk of a collision when vehicles are parked on both sides of the junction. | | |

Devon County Council Comment Response Plan ENV5670 -010 Waverley Road, Kingsbridge 8 respondents - Residents of Frogmore Terrace, Kingsley Road & Waverley Road, Kingsbridge Objection **Reason for Proposal** 8 respondents objects to the proposal on the grounds that: No Waiting at Any Time to prevent parking opposite shared access causing difficulties for large vehicles manoeuvring in and out of Already pressure on parking in area from close proximity to Community College with staff, students & residents all

- fighting for space on a daily basis especially during term Proposals will limit parking even further and will not
- improve the situation. It will increase the pressure on limited parking as it is.
- Parking has always been contentious.

Recommendation: Implement as advertised.

1 respondent commented that further pressure on parking will increase more due to the future expansion of the Community College.

access.

Officer comments

The proposals replace existing Keep Clear and Access Protection Markings which have not been successful. Parking across and access or in a way which obstructs an access can be enforced against by the Police. Installing Double Yellow Lines would

- 1 respondent commented that overall consideration of parking needs to be confronted.
- 1 respondent commented that the area as a whole is too tight and restrictive for larger goods vehicles.
- 1 respondent commented that the proposals will danger and inconvenience local residents as concerned that emergency vehicles will be unable to attend an incident.
- 1 respondent commented that normal sized vehicles use the access without any problem and this is how it should stay. Do not impose further restrictions.
- 1 respondent commented that the restrictions will not allow them to park in front of own garage when pushed for parking. Parking in front of own garage does not interfere with vehicles turning in and out of the rear access opposite.

Suggestions

- Deliveries to be made by more appropriately sized vehicles rather than make a residential are more accessible to large lorries.
- Reduce the extent of the restrictions so respondent still has the option to park in front of garage. APM needs to be remarked.
- DCC and SHDC need to revise parking polices so that car parks at not left empty at night and the streets overloaded with cars restricting access to emergency vehicles.

Recommendation: Implement as advertised.

enable DCC's Civil Parking Enforcement Officers to take action, worn.

| Comment | Devon County Council |
|---------|----------------------|
| | Response |

Plan ENV5670-018 Revelstoke Road & Lane from Netton Farm to Yondercombe (Middlecombe Lane), Noss Mayo

1 respondent - Resident of Middlecombe Lane

Objection

1 respondent objects to the proposals on the grounds that:

- Proposal will consume at least 4 car parking places in an area of village where parking is in short supply.
- Reduce the parking available in the area by one third.
- Area by keep clear sign is respected so bus can manoeuvre around.

Suggestions

Extent of proposal is reduced to area just by the sign to leave 3 on-road parking spaces (road is not narrow in this area).

Recommendation: Implement as advertised.

Reason for Proposal

No Waiting at Any Time to prevent obstructive parking to enable bus to turn around.

Officer comments

Proposal originally came from Parish Council supported by Local Member to enable the local bus to turn safely at the junction.

Comment Devon County Council Response

Plan ENV5670-019 Hillside, South Brent 5 respondents – Residents of Hillside, Springfield Terrace

Objection

4 respondents object to the proposals on the grounds that:

- Proposals will displace parking to other congested areas.
- Already limited parking in area.
- No further provision for parking is being provided.
- 1 respondent commented that area of Hillside has 3 large terraces where the rear 'service' lane cannot be accessed by modern day vehicles and have to park on Hillside.
- 1 respondent commented that the proposals will cause significant difficulties for one resident who relies on carers & visitors each day.
- 1 respondent commented that landowners are not cutting back vegetation which is making the road width narrower and preventing safe travel.
- 1 respondent commented that the parked cars help to keep the traffic slow.

Suggestions

- Restrictions to be put in abeyance until action is taken against landowners to restore Hillside to its original width.
- Reduce the speed limit down to 20mph as in other areas of the village (this would improve pedestrian safety along Hillside).

Support

1 respondent supports the proposals on the grounds that:

- Vehicles are parked in access to drive making it difficult for both physical and visual access.
- Drivers use access as a refuge damaging the wall (even demolishing the wall).
- All accesses on the junctions have poor visibility due to high banks and difficult angles to turn through.
- Without parked cars on the junction of Springfield Road there would be a good 'T' junction for turning.

Recommendation: Implement as advertised.

Reason for Proposal

No Waiting at Any Time to prevent inappropriate parking at junction and improve visibility.

Officer comments

Parish Council supports the proposal.

Appendix II To HIW/18/85













